Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/488,945	AMES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melvin H Pollack	2141
All Participants:	Status of Application: _	
(1) Melvin H Pollack.	(3)	
(2) William W. Schaal (39,018).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 August 2004	Time: <u>3:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	cant's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		• ,
18-23		
Prior art documents discussed:	•	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Discussed various draft amendments and further detailing of "in function," of what kind of function is used, and of use in virtual L	nechanism to determine and tr	ansfer without use of routing
Part III.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	he examiner will provide a wr	ritten summary of the substance
☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa	ary by the examiner appears i	n Part II above.
		•
		·
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)